NOTICE OF APPEAL TO TILLAMOOK COUNTY

) OFFICE USE ONLY	
F	Received	Hearing Scheduled	
А	UG 0 2 2024) Public Notice Completed	
Tillamook County) soard of Commissioners)) Property Owner Notice Completed	
)) Fee Paid	
APP	ELLANT:		
1 Ns	Regina Id	a Dehen	
Only	personal names. If you	are also representing an organization, place its name on line number 4.	
2. Ac	ddress 930 Fair	way Court	
		503-380-7694	
	organization	ganizations name here IF you are an official representative of an that has authorized this appeal. Proof of such authorization must be shown f the public hearing. (You need not represent an organization in order to file	
6. Ac	ction Appealed		
CONE	DITIONAL USE APPROVA	L TO UPDATE THE MASTER PLAN FOR NEHALEM BAY ST ATE PARK	
7.	Decision Appealed (Denied, Granted) Granted		
8.	Name of Applicant Tracy Johnson		
9.	Additional Comments We request an additional condition be imposed upon OPRD at the time of applying for Permit approval for new campsites and lodging,		
	that they develop a plan for offsite	improvements to Necarney City Road to mitigate the safety issues that will be exacerbated by the campsite expansion.	
<i>You</i> и	vill be notified by mail of	the date and time set for the public hearing before the appeal body.	
Date	07/31/2024	Signature Die Ollee	
G:\PLA	ANNING\Forms\Appeal Fo	rm.docx - 07/28/2022	

NOTICE OF APPEAL O OU LAMOOR COUNTY

	Received
Public Motice Completed	AUG J Z 2024
Property Dwner Notice Completed	Tillamook County oard of Commissioners
Dehen	1 Name Regina Ida
discrepe carrier, in signification place to have smaller terms at Ay Court	2 Address 930 Fairwa
OPEN FOLES Y NA MELIANDE NA PARENTE DE LA CONTRACTOR DE L	6 Action Appealed
Senied Seanled)	7. Decision Appealed (I
racy Johnson	T theoligaA to emel4 8
	9. Additional Comments
date and here say for my malic hearing before the case the dis-	von a the moved by net of the Dece 07/31/2024

Notice of Appeal

1. Identification of the decision being appealed, including the date of the decision.

RE: #851-24-000192-PLNG: Approval of a Conditional Use request to update the Master Plan for Nehalem Bay State Park; Notice of Decision dated July 26, 2024.

After consideration of all available evidence, the Planning Commission took the following actions:

- During deliberations, the Planning Commission voted unanimously, 6 in favor and O opposed, to encourage all parties including Tillamook County, City of Manzanita, Oregon State Parks and Recreation, and the interested public to form a Working Group to work towards resolving the issues of Necarney City Road.
- Planning Commission voted unanimously, 6 in favor and O opposed, to approve Conditional Use request #851-24000192-PLNG subject to the Conditions of Approval as amended and included as "Exhibit A".
- The Planning Commission hereby adopts the Conditions of Approval as amended and shown in "Exhibit A" attached to the Order.
- 2. A statement demonstrating the person filing the notice of appeal has standing to appeal.

My name is Regina Ida Dehen. I reside at 930 Fairway Court in Manzanita, Oregon, 97130. I was present at the Tillamook County Land Use Commission meeting on the 11th of July, 2024 about this proposal. I submitted oral and written testimony at that time representing 122 citizens who signed a Petition expressing the community's continuing concerns about the safety of Necarney City Road as the primary access road to the Park.

3. A statement explaining the specific issues being raised on appeal:

Issue One: The Notice residents received stated that testimony could only address the criteria "contained within the Tillamook County Land Use Ordinance Section 6.040: Conditional Use Review Criteria. Only comments relevant to the approval criteria are considered relevant evidence." For this reason, this testimony focuses on criteria 2 and 4, which are:

(2) The use is consistent with the applicable goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

⁽⁴⁾ The proposed use will not alter the character of the surrounding area in a manner which substantially limits, impairs or prevents the use of surrounding properties for the permitted uses listed in the underlying zone.

Concerning criterion 2, Goal One of the Tillamook County Comprehensive Plan, and the statewide plan of the Department of Land Conservation and Development, both require community involvement in planning. Goal 1 of the DLCD calls for "the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process." But OPRD did not meet with the communities of Nehalem or Manzanita prior to creating and submitting this revised Proposal. This omission of public input is a violation of the policies of the Comprehensive Plans.

Concerning criterion 4, when OPRD wrote the 2009 Nehalem Bay State Park Master Plan, they found:

"The county road leading to the park entrance, Necarney City Road, is reportedly over capacity and in need of improvements to accommodate the existing level of use... The road is not wide enough, has two no-stop/free right turns that are potentially confusing, and poor sight distance at a couple of driveway intersections. It also has no bike/pedestrian lanes and is dangerous for biking and walking. In addition to the park traffic, this road serves existing and future residential developments, a driving range and a solid waste transfer station... A number of comments pointed out the need to implement the needed access improvements prior to expanding the visitor capacity of the park."

Had OPRD engaged the community in this revised proposal, they would have gotten the same feedback about Necarney City Road that they got 15 years ago, to whit:

The proposed use (increasing park capacity by 30%¹) alters the character of the surrounding area by increasing traffic on a road that is already over capacity and in need of improvements, thereby endangering the safety and well-being of pedestrians and bicyclists on that access road. It is over capacity because this road has no bicycle or pedestrian lanes.

1(93 new campsites and group lodging cabins²/307 sites currently) X 100 = 30%

²From the Conditional Approval: "Development shall only occur in the area as generally depicted on the submitted site plans and as described and shall not exceed (64) new campsites, and (29) group lodging cabins, in addition to new restrooms/showers, utility upgrades, boat ramp parking improvements, and RV dump station."

Issue Two: Discussion at the County Land Use Commission hearing in July supported improving the road. That's why Commissioner LaFranc made the motion to "encourage all parties to form a work group," and to include that in the Findings of Fact. He also stated that all the people who could carry that forward, including community development, public works, state parks, and the public, needed to get

together and push harder. That is why we are appealing the decision. This is what the public, pushing harder to address the safety issues of the road, looks like.

4019

Other evidence to consider: During the Planning Commission meeting, Director of Public Works, Chris Laity suggested numerous easy and timely traffic safety improvements in the short-term, including reducing the speed limit from 35 to 30 mph, adding a "Share the Road" and a solar-powered speed sign on Necarney City Road. We would be happy to see those things occur as soon as feasible. In the longer-term, he suggested that OPRD could submit a safety plan/Letter of Recommendation from their department to the county road department for offsite improvements that would reduce or mitigate the concerns of pedestrians and bicyclists on this road. He also mentioned partnering with the Parks staff to apply for Community Trails grants.

It is true that the proposed expansion did not trigger a traffic assessment by ODOT. Nevertheless, ODOT officials acknowledged that their model cannot account for campground traffic. It is possible the model underestimates the impact of the campsite expansion, and therefore, they recommended working with the local cities and communities early in the process to identify and address any traffic concerns.

Because of concerns about timing of the project, the sunsetting of the GO Bonds to fund it, and meeting schedules, the Applicant declined the opportunity to amend the application, as it would require additional meetings with the Planning Commission and delay project initiation.

We want to emphasize that we do not want to prohibit OPRD from doing the upgrades and expansion at the park; we support them. Nor do we want to jeopardize OPRD's receipt of GO Bond funds by encroaching on their timeline. In the interest of time, OPRD could provide the safety plan/Letter of Recommendation at the time of applying for Zoning and Building Permits for new campsites and lodging, which is in the later phase of the project.

However, we are respectfully requesting that the County Commissioners address these safety issues now by adding conditions to the approval along the lines of what Director Laity suggested, as well as *requiring* the formation of a Working Group, as the Land Use Commissioners recommended.

Thank you for the opportunity to express the community's concerns.

ings thet and push header. That is why we are suncalling the document this in when the public, pushing barder to a lignessable safety issues to the road, foor saive. 4 4 - 3 .

The coldinary to consider the ing the Planning Commission medies, Director of Public Wells of Continues suggested nomerous also and succy traited safety inprovenes room to short remunosluding reducing the specialism 35 to 30 map, adding a Sharetrie Road nod resolved specialism of a sharetrie mose things each resolved specialism on the content of the suggested that OFRD could succeed things each resolved that the language to the suggested that OFRD could succeed the store provided that of the county room succeeding and the safety of the county room and and the safety of the county room and and the safety and the safety of the safety of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the safety for Charmon with the factor of the safety of the

it is true that the proposition expansion aid nor nigger a manic past asyment [5, 10, 10]. Nevertholess, ODOT of mans ach nowledged that their nucles control act ount to correspond that the characteristic of the compaine expansion that the control is all of the control and control and the control is all of the control and communities early in the process to identify and a laters any matter, content in

Because of concars and it aranger the party of the substitute of the CO Brodero form and missing expression the application as two orders and require additional monanty with the Cariotic policy contains and the additional monanty of the infinite form.

We wind to commake the repeat of we do not want to probabilition RD from doing the approdes and expensional the parties well and expensional the parties of the delign of Do down the latter to perfect of the first the latters of the figure for the provide of the date of applying for Loring and provide the formus for new comparts and locations with the later of applying for the project.

However, we are respectfully requesting that the County Commissioners address these salety issues now by adding conditions to the approval along the tines of what Furector Languagested as melt, as requiring the formation of a Working Group, as the Land Use damnish orders securing the Lind Use.

Thank you for the apportunity to express the community's concerns.